

Comment on relationship between atomic squeezed and entangled states

Arup Banerjee

Laser Physics Division, Centre for Advanced Technology
Indore 452013, India

Abstract

The ability of parameter recently proposed by Sorensen et al. (Nature **409**, 63 (2001)) to characterize entanglement or inseparability is investigated for a system of two two-level atoms interacting with a single mode of radiation field. For comparison we employ the necessary and sufficient criterion of Peres and Horodecki for inseparability of bipartite states. We show that for diagonal atomic density matrix the parameter of Sorensen et al. fails to show entangled nature of collective atomic state whereas same state is inseparable in accordance with Peres-Horodecki criterion.

Recently quantum entangled states have been focus of both theoretical and experimental research. This is due to the realization that the quantum entanglement is a key resource for implementation of protocols of quantum computation and quantum information processing [1]. Theoretically lot of work has been done to quantify the quantum entanglement. For detail see the recent review by Horodecki et al. [2]. Simultaneously several schemes have been proposed for generation of these states in many physical systems. In connection to the generation of multi particle entanglement in Bose-Einstein condensate Sorensen et al [3] proposed a parameter to characterize the entanglement. This parameter is given by

$$\xi^2 = \frac{N (\Delta S_{\vec{n}_1})^2}{\langle S_{\vec{n}_2} \rangle^2 + \langle S_{\vec{n}_3} \rangle^2} \quad (1)$$

where $S_{\vec{n}} = \vec{n} \cdot \vec{S}$, $\vec{S}$ is angular momentum operator representing the collective atomic operator and $\vec{n}$'s are mutually orthogonal unit vectors. The value of the parameter going below unity, that is $\xi^2 < 1$, signifies atomic entanglement or quantifies inseparability of collective atomic states. It is important to note here that the same parameter is also used to characterize atomic squeezed states. Thus the collective atomic states satisfying $\xi^2 < 1$ are also referred to as atomic squeezed states. Moreover the parameter ξ^2 is also related to other parameters proposed for characterizing atomic squeezed states.

In this paper our aim is to investigate the capability ξ^2 given by Eq.(1) to characterize the entanglement properties of bipartite states. As is well known that for both pure or mixture bipartite states there exists a necessary and sufficient criterion for inseparability of composite state due to Peres and Horodecki [4, 5]. According to this criterion separability is signified by existence of non-negative eigenvalues of partial transpose of density matrix of the composite system. For our purpose we study the entanglement property of a model system of two two-level atoms interacting with a single cavity mode by using both Peres-Horodecki criterion and the parameter defined in Eq.(1). We demonstrate that for a particular case of initial atomic and cavity field states the collective atomic state at any later time is always inseparable in accordance with the Peres-Horodecki criterion. On the other hand under the same initial condition ξ^2 is always greater than unity. In the following we present our analysis and also discuss the condition under which ξ^2 can characterize the entanglement property of composite systems.

We consider two two-level atoms with ground and excited states denoted by $|g_i\rangle$ and $|e_i\rangle$ respectively, where $i = 1, 2$, coupled to a single cavity radiation mode with same coupling constant g . The interaction hamiltonian for the two atom system with a single cavity mode is given by

$$H = g \sum_i \left(S_i^+ a + S_i^- a^\dagger \right) \quad (2)$$

where $a(a^\dagger)$ is the annihilation (creation) operator of the radiation mode, $S_i^+ = |e_i\rangle\langle g_i|$ and $S_i^- = |g_i\rangle\langle e_i|$ represent the atomic raising and lowering operators respectively. Recently Kim et al. [6] employed the same system to investigate the generation of the entangled state of the atomic system when the field mode is in number and thermal states. Here we consider the case of both the atoms in their ground states ($|g_1, g_2\rangle$) and the field mode in the number state $|n\rangle$. The atomic density operator for the above mentioned

initial condition at $t > 0$ is given by

$$\rho(t) = X_1|1\rangle\langle 1| + X_2|2\rangle\langle 2| + X_3|3\rangle\langle 3| \quad (3)$$

where $|1\rangle = |e_1, e_2\rangle$, $|2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|e_1, g_2\rangle + |g_1, e_2\rangle)$ and $|3\rangle = |g_1, g_2\rangle$ and the coefficients X_i are given by

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \frac{n(n-1)}{(2n-1)^2} [\cos^2 \lambda t - 2 \cos \lambda t + 1] \\ X_2 &= \frac{n}{(2n-1)} \sin^2 \lambda t \\ X_3 &= \frac{n^2 \cos^2 \lambda t + 2n(n-1) \cos \lambda t + (n-1)^2}{(2n-1)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\lambda = gt\sqrt{2(2n-1)}$. In accordance with the Peres-Horodecki criterion the collective atomic states at $t > 0$ are entangled or inseparable atomic if and only the condition $X_2 > \sqrt{X_1 X_3}$ is satisfied. For the single photon case, that is $n = 1$, this condition reduces to $\sin^2 2gt > 0$. Therefore for fock state $|1\rangle$ and both the atoms in their ground state collective atomic state is always entangled except at $t = 0$ [6]. On the other hand for the same initial atomic and cavity mode states the squeezing parameter of Eq.(1) is given by

$$\xi^2 = \frac{1 + \sin^2 2gt}{\cos^4 2gt}. \quad (5)$$

It is easy to verify that ξ^2 given above (Eq.(5)) never goes below unity at any time signifying that collective atomic states are not entangled. This also shows that no atomic squeezed states are generated in the atom-field interaction. Thus we show that a density matrix of composite system of 2×2 dimension can be inseparable or entangled in accordance with the Peres-Horodecki criterion. However, same system fails to satisfy the condition of entanglement given by Eq.(1).

We emphasize here that the condition $\xi^2 < 1$ to signify entanglement or atomic squeezed state require that the density matrix of composite must have non-zero off-diagonal (coherence) matrix elements [7, 8]. To show this explicitly we consider the density matrix of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= X_1|1\rangle\langle 1| + X_2|2\rangle\langle 2| + X_3|3\rangle\langle 3| \\ &+ Y|1\rangle\langle 3| + Y|1\rangle\langle 3|. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

For the sake of simplicity we assume Y to be real. It is straightforward to extend the discussion for a complex Y too. Now corresponding to the above density matrix (Eq.(6)) the parameter ξ^2 is given by

$$\xi^2 = \frac{2Y + 2 - \langle S_z^2 \rangle}{\langle S_z \rangle^2} \quad (7)$$

where $S_z = \frac{1}{2}(S^+S^- - S^-S^+)$. The entanglement or squeezing condition requires that

$$\langle S_z^2 \rangle + \langle S_z \rangle^2 > 2 + 2Y \quad (8)$$

For $Y = 0$, that is for a diagonal density matrix Eq.(8) can never be satisfied as $\langle S_z^2 \rangle, \langle S_z \rangle^2 \leq 1$. However for, $Y \neq 0$ it is still possible to satisfy Eq.(8) under some conditions. For example, if the field is in a coherent or a squeezed state then off-diagonal terms of the atomic density matrix are not zero and it may be possible to achieve $\xi^2 < 1$ [9, 10] under appropriate condition.

In conclusion we have demonstrated that the parameter proposed in Ref.[3] is not suitable for characterizing entanglement or inseparability properties of composite systems having diagonal density matrix. It is only for systems with off-diagonal density matrix ξ^2 may be employed to characterize entangled states.

References

- [1] *The Physics of Quantum Information*, Edited by D. Bouwmeester, A. Ekert, and A. Zeilinger (Springer , Berlin, 2000)
- [2] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, quant-ph/0109124
- [3] A. Sorensen, L. M. Duan, J. I. Cirac, P. Zoller, Nature **409**, 63 (2001).
- [4] A.Peres, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 1413 (1996).
- [5] P. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A **232**, 333 (1997).
- [6] M. S. Kim, J. Lee, D. Ahn and P. L. Knight, quant-ph/0109052
- [7] G. S. Agarwal and R. R. Puri, Phys. Rev. A **49**, 4968 (1994).
- [8] A. Banerjee, Phys. Rev. A **54**, 5327 (1996).

- [9] D. J. Wineland, J. J. Bollinger, W. M. Itano, and D. J. Henizen, Phys. Rev. A **50** 67 (1994).
- [10] H. Saito and M. Ueda, Phys. Rev. A **59**, 3959 (1999).